

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,588	11/26/2003	Adrian B. Chernoff	GP-303033 7594	
7590 02/17/2005			EXAMINER	
KATHRYN A. MARRA			EDELL, JOSEPH F	
General Motors Legal Staff, Ma	s Corporation ail Code 482-C23-B21	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300			3636	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/723,5	88	CHERNOFF ET AL.			
\	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Joseph F	Edell	3636			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A S THE - Ex aff - If t - If t - Fa An ea	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, y reply received by the Office later than three months after timed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluon. ays, a reply within the stary period will apply and we by statute. cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin lutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. & 133)			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>10 November 2</u>	<u>004</u> .				
/-	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)∟	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	ition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5-8,10,12,14 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,9,11,13,15,16 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)区	7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.				
Applica	tion Papers						
9)[>	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 20		ccepted or b) 🛛 object	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			, ,			
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•	foreign and add	d051100 0 440/-\	(1)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*	See the attached detailed Office action fo		` ''	d.			
				 .			
Attachme	• •						
1) X Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.40\	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Рар	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/09/4</u> .	,	6) Other:	, -,			
J.S. Patent and PTOL-326 (I	Trademark Office Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	ry Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 02142005			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 02 December 2004. Applicant argues that the product claimed in Group I, claims 1-16 and 21, is required to be made by the same method as recited in Group II, claims 17-20. However, the product-by-process claims of Group I are limited by only by the recited structural elements and the process recited does not limit the product formed so long as the prior art appears to be the same or an obvious variant thereof.

Therefore, the product claimed in Group I is not required to be made by the process of Group II.

During a telephone conversation with J. McCarthy on 11 February 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, and 21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3, 5-8, 10, 12, and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Please note, Applicant may state that the species are not patentably distinct, however Applicant is reminded that upon the rejection of one species the other species will be rejected as obvious variances of one another.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "36", "42", and "44" have been used to designate the first bend line. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be generally limited to a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the back top panel portion is on a first side of the third bend and the back bottom panel portion is on a second side of the third bend" (lines 11-12) should read --the back bottom panel portion is on a first side of the third and the lower seat bottom panel is on a second side of the

Art Unit: 3636

third bend such that the back bottom panel portion is adjacent to the back top panel portion—. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 3,669,499 to Semplonius et al.

Semplonius et al. disclose a seat that appears to teach all the limitations recited in claims 1, 2, 4, 13, and 16. Semplonius et al. show a seat having a lower seat portion (Fig. 1) with matable lower seat bottom and lower seat top panel portions, a back portion (Fig. 1) with matable back bottom and back top panel portions, a seat cushion 40 (Fig. 6) on the back portion, and an integral flange 17 (Fig. 8) on the back portion connectable with respect to the other of the back portion to partially join together wherein at least one panel is unitary and the lower seat portion and the back portion are cooperatively configured to form a seat frame. Dystra et al. teaches a seat that appears to be the same as, or an obvious variant of, the seat set forth in the product-by-process claims 1 and 21 although produced by a different process.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,588

Art Unit: 3636

7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,913,571 to Dystra et al.

Dystra et al. disclose a vehicle seat that appears to teach all the limitations recited in claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 15, and 21. Dystra et al. show a vehicle seat having a unitary lower seat portion 28 (Fig. 7) with matable lower seat bottom and lower seat top panel portions, a back portion (Fig. 7) with matable back bottom and back top panel portions, a headrest 84 (Fig. 7) on the back portion, a first bend (Fig. 7) between the lower seat top panel portion and back top panel portion, a second bend 32 (Fig. 7) between the lower seat bottom panel and the lower seat top panel portion, and a third bend (Fig. 7) between the back bottom panel and the lower seat bottom panel wherein the lower seat portion and the back portion are cooperatively configured to form a seat frame. Dystra et al. teaches a vehicle seat that appears to be the same as, or an obvious variant of, the vehicle seat set forth in the product-by-process claims 1 and 21 although produced by a different process. See *In re Marosi*, 710 F.2d 799, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983) and *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dystra et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 15, and 21 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,988,757 to Vishey et al.

Dystra et al. disclose a vehicle seat that is basically the same as that recited in claim 11 except that the seat frame lacks a matable seat track member, as recited in the

claim. Vishey et al. show a vehicle seat similar to that of Dystra et al. wherein the vehicle seat has a seat frame 30 (Fig. 6) and a matable seat track member (see column 6, lines 3-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle seat of Dystra et al. such that the seat frame is matable with a seat track member to be movable along the seat track member relative to a vehicle, such as the vehicle seat disclosed in Vishey et al. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Vishey et al. that the seat track member allows for movement and proper energy dissipation in the event of a collision.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to seats with lower seat and back portions:

- U.S. Pat. No. 2,324,318 to Niedringhaus
 U.S. Pat. No. 3,053,569 to Clark
 U.S. Pat. No. 6,783,184 B2 to DiBattista et al. JP Pat. No. 6-15407 to Fuji
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1216. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,588

Art Unit: 3636

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joe Edell

February 14, 2005